

UNCODED

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Career Training Program - Security Disapproval Statistics

FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.	DD/A Registry	STAT	
Director of Security 4E-60 Headquarters					23 MAY 1983	83-1329	STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)		
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED				
1. DDA 7D-24 Headquarters		23 MAY 1983	23 MAY 1983	<i>✓</i>	Perhaps you will want to pass this to the Executive Director who has a known interest in this topic.		
2. DDA			23 MAY 1983	<i>✓</i>			
3. DDA		23 MAY 1983		<i>✓</i>			
4.							
5. Exd 12		24 MAY 1983	DDA	2 to 3 - This is in connection with <i>see</i> STAT			
6.							
7. DDA				<i>attached to the log what you were on vacation and put the statistics on</i>			
8.				<i>posted in a more meaningful light</i>			
9.							
10. 10-1							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

Subj.

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Career Training Program - Security Disapproval Statistics

FROM:	EXTENSION	NO.	STAT	
			DATE	DD/A Registry
Director of Security 4E-60 Headquarters		23 MAY 1983	83-1329	STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. DDA 7D-24 Headquarters	23 MAY 1983	23 MAY 1983	CH	Perhaps you will want to pass this to the Executive Director who has a known interest in this topic
2. DDA				
3. DDA	23 MAY 1983			
4.				
5. EXD 112				
6.				
7. DDA				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

DD/I Registry
83-1329

23 MAY 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM: [REDACTED]
Director of Security

STAT

SUBJECT: Career Training Program
Security Disapproval Statistics

1. A perception exists that security disapproval rates for Career Trainees have escalated significantly in recent years. One version has it that these rates have increased by five or more percentage points. This notion is based on statistics out of the Career Training Task Force Staff whose records read as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Candidates</u>	<u>Security Disapprovals</u>	<u>Percentages</u>
1981	401	47	12%
1982	236	39	17%
1983	105	18	17%

2. The statistics from the Office of Personnel and Security show for the same time period the following:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Percentages of Security Disapprovals</u>
1981	15%
1982	17%
1983	17%

3. The difference between FY 81 and FY 82 is properly attributable to a change in CT processing procedures rather than any change in Office of Security standards or criteria. In FY 81, the CT organization placed many cases in OS/OMS processing before they had been assessed to be viable CT candidates. A significant number of these cases were rather quickly cancelled. But, when the total number of cases put into processing continued to be used to calculate the security disapproval rate, it led to a lower percentage figure than the reality. Currently, the CT organization places only viable CT candidates into OS/OMS processing. This lowers the cancellation rate, makes smaller the denominator of the ratio involved and makes the disapproval rate appear higher--but more realistic.

OS 3 1362

4. It is noteworthy that in FY 1981 it took 5.9 candidates to enter into formal processing to get one CT candidate on board. In FY 1982, the ratio dropped to 4.7 to one, while in 1983, it is now only requiring 2.1 candidates to effect each hire under the program.

5. Again, there has been no change in security standards or security criteria for CT candidates under the current Director of Security whose incumbency began on 21 March 1980.

STAT

Distribution:

Orig - DDA
1 - D/OP
1 - D/OMS